JAN 1 7 2006



Form: PTO/SB/17 (Modified)

& TRADEMAN			Attorney Docket No.		95-391			
REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL			Application Number		09/8	09/824,706		
			Filing Date		Apr	April 4, 2001		
			First Named Inventor		ACHARYA			
			Group Art Unit		2112			
AMOUNT ENCLOSED \$ 0		Examiner Name		KNOLL, Clifford H				
FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/2001)								
CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For		Number Extra			Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	13	20		0	(3)	Χ	\$18.00 =	\$0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	2	3		0		X	\$84.00 =	\$0
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$400); 3 months (\$920); 4 months (\$1,440); 5 months (\$1,960)):								
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)							+\$0	
Total of above Calculations =							\$0	
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)							-	
TOTAL FEES DUE = (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is *0*.								\$0
(1) if entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0". (2) if entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20". (4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0". (5) if entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".								
METHOD OF PAYMENT								
[] Check enclosed as payment.								
[] Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.								
AUTHORIZATION								
[X] If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:								
Deposit Account No.: 50-0687						4		
OrderNo.: (Client/Matter) 95-391								
SUBMITTED BY: MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC								
Typed Name Leon			Re	eg. No	34,035			
Signature & P.					D	ate	Januar	y 17, 2006



Docket No.: 95-391

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

ACHARYA et al.

Serial No.: 09/824,706 : Group Art Unit: 2112

Filed: April 4, 2001 : Examiner: KNOLL, Clifford H

For: ARRANGEMENT FOR MANAGING TRANSMITTED PACKETS REQUIRING

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IN A HOST CHANNEL ADAPTER

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Official Action mailed October 17, 2005, Applicant submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-13 are unchanged and remain pending in the application.

Claims 1, 7-8, and 11-13 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,621,799 to Kemp et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,744,765 to Dearth et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The §103 rejection is improper because it fails to demonstrate that the applied references teach <u>each and every</u> claim limitation. It is well settled that <u>each and every</u> claim limitation <u>must</u> be considered. As specified in MPEP §2143.03, entitled "All Claim Limitations Must Be

Response Filed January 17, 2006 Appln. No. 09/824,706 Page 1